BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 10631427 1

Economic Integration: Lessons From The European Community

PROFESSOR W.C. GRAHAM

Volume III

1991 - 1992

The materials reproduced in this casebook are for the use of the students at the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto, and are not for commercial sale.

Edited and selected by:

Professor W.C. Graham
Bruce Scott

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

MAR 10 1992

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Economic Integration: Lessons From The European Community

PROFESSOR W.C. GRAHAM

Volume III

1991 - 1992

The materials reproduced in this casebook are for the use of the students at the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto, and are not for commercial sale.

Edited and selected by:

Professor W.C. Graham
Bruce Scott

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/economicintegrat03grah

VOLUME III
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE #

A. INTRODUCTORY MATERIALS

i) McLachlan & Swann, Competition Policy in the European Community, (1967)..... 1

ii) Commission of the European Communities, First Report on Competition Policy, (1971)..... 7

iii) Dennis Swann, "Definitions and Distinctions", in Competition and Industrial Policy in the European Community, (1983), pp. 1-9..... 8

B. ARTICLE 85

1. INTRODUCTION..... 13

2. RELEVANT AND COMPARATIVE LEGISLATION

i) E.E.C. Treaty (Rome), Article 85..... 17

ii) E.E.C. Council Regulation 17..... 18

iii) Competition Act (Canada), ss. 32, 32.01, 32.01, 34, 38, 47-49, 57-62..... 24

iv) Sherman Act (United States), s. 1..... 30

v) Clayton Act (United States), ss. 8, 13, 14..... 30

3. CASE LAW

(a) Basic Issues

i) L.T.M. v. M.B.U., (1966) C.M.L.R. 357..... 33

ii) Italy v. E.E.C. Commission, (1969) C.M.L.R. 39.... 40

iii) Establissements Consten and Grundig v. E.E.C. Commission, (1966) C.M.L.R. 418..... 44

iv) Grundig A.G. v. Metro-SB-Grossmärkte GmbH., (1979) 2 C.M.L.R. 564 (Headnote only)..... 55

v) Metro-SB-Grossmärkte GmbH & Co. KG v. E.C. Commission (No. 2) (Case 75/84), (1987) 48 C.M.L.R. 118 (Headnote only)..... 56

vi) U.S. v. Guerlain, (1957) F. Supp. 77..... 58

vii) Note - Market Definition..... 60

viii) Vereeninging van Cementhandelaren v. E.C. Commission, (1973) C.M.L.R. 7..... 61

ix) A. Alchian, "Words: Musical or Meaningful" (excerpts)..... 64

x) Commission Notice of December 18, 1978, Concerning its Assessment of Certain SubContracting Agreements in Relation to Article 85(1) of the E.E.C. Treaty..... 69

(b) Rule of Reason v. Per Se - The American Approach; De Minimus, - The European Approach

i) Joliet, Rule in Reason in Anti-Trust Law Faculté de Droit, Liège (1967)..... 71

PAGE #

ii) U.S. v. American Tobacco Co., (1911), 221 U.S. 106..... 78

iii) Appalachian Coals, Inc. v. U.S., (1933), 288 U.S. 344..... 80

iv) Howard Smith Paper Mills v. R., (1957) S.C.R. 403..... 83

v) Volk v. ETS. Vervaecke, (1969) C.M.L.R. 273..... 89

vi) S.A. Cadillon v. Firma Höss Maschinenbau K.G., (1971) C.M.L.R. 420..... 93

vii) Commission Notice of September 3, 1986, Concerning Agreements of Minor Importance Which Do Not Fall under Article 85(1) of the Treaty Establishing the European Economic Community..... 96

Per Se

viii) Kaysen and Turner, Anti-Trust Policy, (1959), Harvard University Press..... 98

ix) U.S. v. Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., (1940), 310 U.S. 150..... 99

x) Northern Pacific Railway Co. v. U.S. (1958) 356 US 1..... 103

(c) **Multiple Agreements and Concerted Practices**

i) Brasserie de Haecht S.A. v. Wilkin, (1968) C.M.L.R. 26..... 104

ii) Re Kodak, (1970) C.M.L.R. D19..... 106

iii) I.C.I. v. E.C. Commission, (1972) C.M.L.R. 557.... 109

iv) Re Floral DüngemittelVerkaufs-Gesellschaft mbH, (1980) 2 C.M.L.R. 285..... 114

v) Commission Notice (July 23, 1968) on Agreements, Decisions and Concerted Practices Concerning Cooperation Between Enterprises..... 118

(d) **Negative Clearances**

i) Re Alliance de Constructeurs Francais de Machines-Outils, 1968 C.M.L.R. D23..... 122

ii) Re Eurotunnel (Cases IV/32.437 and IV/32.438) [1989] 4 C.M.L.R. 419..... 124

(e) **Article 85(3) (Legislation Examples)**

i) Commission Regulation 1984/83 On the Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Categories of Exclusive Purchasing Agreements..... 126

ii) Commission Regulation 417/85 On the Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Categories of Specialization Agreements..... 129

PAGE #

iii) Commission Regulation 418/85 On the Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Categories of R & D Agreements..... 131

iv) Note re Draft Regulations Concerning Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Categories of Franchising Agreements and Know-How Agreements.... 135

(Cases)

v) Grundig and Consten v. E.C. Commission (infra).... 136

vi) Re Campari, (December 23, 1977), O.J.L. 70/69 Mav. 1978..... 136

vii) Note on Re the Agreements of Bayer AG, BP Chemicals International Ltd. and Erdolchemie GmbH, (Case IV/32.075) [1989] 4 C.M.L.R. 24..... 143

viii) Re Clima Chappee Agreement, (1970) C.M.L.R. D7.... 143

ix) Re the Agreement of Jaz S.A., (1970) C.M.L.R. 129. 147

x) Re The Agreements of Ente Nazionale Idrocarburi (ENI) and Montedison SpA (Case IV/31.055) [1987] 1 C.M.L.R. 495 (Notice of the E.C. Commission).... 154

xi) Restrictive Trade Practices Commission, Report Re Shipping Conference Arrangements and Practices (1965) (excerpts from the Report by the Director of Combines Investigation)..... 157

(f) **Relationship Between Articles 85 and 86; Role of the Court in Reviewing Commission Decision; Commission's Role in Negotiating Terms of Acquisitions**

i) British American Tobacco Company Limited and R.J. Reynolds Industries Inc. v. E.C. Commission, (Cases 142/84 and 156/84) [1988] 4 C.M.L.R. 24.... 158

C. **ARTICLE 86**

1. **INTRODUCTION**..... 168

2. **RELEVANT AND COMPARATIVE LEGISLATION**

i) E.E.C. Treaty (Rome), Article 86..... 170

ii) Competition Act, (Canada) ss. 50, 51, 63-68..... 171

iii) Clayton Act, s.7..... 174

iv) Sherman Act, s.2..... 174

3. **COMMENTARIES**

i) Dennis Swann, Competition and Industrial Policy in the European Community, (1983), pp. 177-186 (Concluding assessment)..... 175

ii) J. Bain, Industrial Organization..... 180

iii) A. Neale, The Anti-Trust Laws of the U.S.A. (2d ed.)..... 182

iv) P. Samuelson, Economics (119th ed.) U.S.)..... 183

PAGE #

v) P. Samuelson, & A. Scott, Economics (3rd ed.)..... 185

vi) Neil Campbell "Merger Review in the EEC & Canada, Compared" (unpublished to date, p. 8-17)..... 187

4. CASE LAW

i) Re Continental Can, (1972) C.M.L.R. D11..... 192

ii) Europemballage Corp. and Continental Can, v. E.C. Commission (1973) C.M.L.R. 199..... 206

iii) Re United Brands Co., (1976) C.M.L.R. D28..... 213

iv) United Brands Co. v. E.C. Commission, (1978) 1 C.M.L.R. D24 (Headnote only)..... 226

v) The Community v. Hoffmann-La Rroche, (1976) 2 C.M.L.R. D24..... 229

vi) General Motors v. E.C. Commission, (1976) 1 C.M.L.R. 95..... 237

vii) Re Netherland Michelin NV, (1982) 1 BLR 10 (S.C.C.)..... 240

viii) Centre Belge D'Etudes de Marche-Tele-Marketing SA v. Compagnie Luxembourgeiose de Telediffusion SA, [1986] 2 C.M.L.R. 558..... 241

ix) Regina v. K.C. Irving Ltd. (1977) 1 BLR 10 (S.C.C.)..... 252

D. INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY RIGHTS IN RELATION TO ARTICLES 85 AND 86

1. LEGISLATION

i) Commission Official Notice on Patent Licensing Agreements (December 24, 1962)..... 261

ii) Commission Regulation 2349/84 On the Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Certain Categories of Patent Licensing Agreements..... 263

2. CASE LAW

i) Parke, Davis v. Probel, (1968) C.M.L.R. D47..... 266

ii) Centrafarm v. Sterling Drug, (1974) C.M.L.R. 480..... 271

iii) Sirena v. EDA, (1971) C.M.L.R. 260..... 276

iv) Deutsche Grammophon v. Metro, (1971) C.M.L.R. 631..... 280

v) Etablissements Consten v. E.E.C. Commission (1966) C.M.L.R. 418..... 288

E. COMPETITION RULES AND JURISDICTION OVER FOREIGN CORPORATIONS

1. CASE LAW

i) Instituto Chemioterapico and Commercial Solvents v. E.C. Commission (1973) C.M.L.R. 361..... 289

ii) Europemballage Corporation & Continental Can v. E.C. Commission (1973) C.M.L.R. 199..... 293

PAGE #

iii) Imperial Chemical Industries v. E.C. Commission (1972) C.M.L.R. 557..... 294

iv) United States v. Aluminum Co. of America (Alcoa) (1945), 148 F. 2d 416 (U.S.C.A. 2d Circ.)..... 300

v) Timberlane Lumber Co. v. Bank of America, (1976) 549 F. 2d 594 (U.S.C.A. 9th Circ.)..... 301

vi) Re Wood Pulp Cartel: A Ahlström OY and Others v. E.C. Commission, (Cases 89/85, 104/85, 114/85, 116-117/85 and 125-129/85) [1988] 4 C.M.L.R. 901..... 303